

**Report to:** PLANNING COMMITTEE

**Date:** 16 November 2016

**Report from:** Assistant Director of Housing and Built Environment

**Application Address:** **Rocklands Private Caravan Park,  
Rocklands Lane, Hastings, TN35 5DY**

**Proposal:** **Discharge of condition 4 (details of the planting scheme and soft landscaping), 6 (archaeological monitoring), 7 (foul and surface water drainage scheme) and 8 (external colour scheme) of Appeal A ref. APP/B1415/C/15/3029007 - (EN/15/00028)**

**Application No:** **HS/CD/16/00655**

**Recommendation:**

Ward: OLD HASTINGS  
File No: RO45100T  
Applicant: Mr & Mrs Guilliard per CLM Planning Limited 14 Magpie Close Bexhill on Sea East Sussex TN39 4EU

Interest: Site Owner  
Existing Use: Caravan Park

**Policies**

Conservation Area: No  
Listed Building: No

**Public Consultation**

Adj. Properties: Yes  
Advertisement: No  
Letters of Objection: 3  
Petitions Received: 0

Application Status: Not delegated - More than 2 letters of objection received

### **Site and Surrounding Area**

The application site is wholly within the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), the Hastings Historic Core Archaeological Notification Area (ANA), an area susceptible to groundwater flooding and a Site of Specific Scientific Interest (SSSI) Impact Risk Zone (IRZ).

The following designations affect smaller areas of the site:

- Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) designation
- Badger setts
- Conservation area buffer zone (20m)

The application is also bordered by the following:

- Old Town Conservation area
- Hastings Country Park
- Hastings Country Park Local Nature Reserve
- Hastings Country Park Local Wildlife Site

Land both within Hastings Country Park (owned by Hasting Borough Council) and the caravan park (owned by the applicants) has been subject to a land slip, which resulted in the loss of vegetation on the southern slopes of the caravan park and the cliff.

The caravan park is screened in the most part from the surrounding Country Park by the protected woodland on the north eastern and southwestern boundaries. The caravan park is accessed by a narrow lane leading off Barley Lane to the north.

## **Proposed development**

This application as a whole seeks to discharge conditions 4 (details of the planting scheme and soft landscaping), 6 (archaeological monitoring), 7 (foul and surface water drainage scheme) and 8 (external colour scheme) of Appeal A ref. APP/B1415/C/15/3029007 - (EN/15/00028). The appeal related to the holiday let building which was not built in accordance with planning permission HS/FA/12/00952, granted on 13 February 2013. The appeal succeeded in part, in that an amended scheme 'scheme 3A', for the holiday let building was granted planning permission, subject to conditions. The conditions attached to that appeal decision therefore, are those to which this application relates.

Discussions regarding condition 4 (planting scheme and soft landscaping) and condition 7 (foul and surface water drainage scheme) are ongoing. This report therefore considers condition 6 (archaeological monitoring) only, as condition 8 (external colour scheme) was discharged through delegated authority on 8 September 2016. The outstanding conditions will be brought to a future planning committee, once current concerns are resolved to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

Condition 6 of appeal decision APP/B1415/C/15/3029007 states:

*No further groundworks required to complete the development shall take place until the implementation of a programme of archaeological works has been secured in accordance with a written scheme of investigation submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. A written record of any archaeological works undertaken shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority within 3 months of the completion of any archaeological investigation unless an alternative timescale for the submission of the report is first agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.*

The application in so far as it relates to condition 6 is supported by:

- Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Monitoring (August 2016)

## Relevant Planning History

EN/15/00028	Without planning permission, the construction of a two storey building ENFORCEMENT NOTICE UPHeld AND AMENDED, PLANNING PERMISSION GRANTED FOR SCHEME '3A' 7 June 2016
HS/FA/14/01306	Retention of holiday let building (with reduction to balcony area to the dimensions permitted by HS/FA/12/00952) and access ramp. Relocation of solar panels to roof of holiday let building. REFUSED 4 March 2015
HS/FA/14/00406	Variation of condition 2 (approved plans) of planning permission HS/FA/12/00952 - Minor amendment showing change of ground floor plan, additional & altered window positions & extended balcony (Retrospective) REFUSED 18 June 2014
HS/CD/13/00792	Discharge of conditions 4 (colour of render) & 5 (screening) of application HS/FA/12/00952 GRANTED 21 January 2014
HS/FA/12/00952	Proposed demolition of current holiday let and replacement of a new holiday let GRANTED 13 February 2013
HS/FA/12/00471	Proposed demolition of current holiday let and replacement of a new holiday let REFUSED 19 July 2012
HS/FA/11/00043	Removal of condition 2 from Planning Permission HS/FA/10/00492 REFUSED 16 March 2011
HS/FA/10/00492	Roof Alterations to form first floor accommodation GRANTED 5 October 2010
HS/FA/08/00869	Replacement Holiday Dwelling REFUSED 16 March 2009
HS/FA/89/01067	Erection of extensions to existing bungalow REFUSED 4 April 1991
HS/FA/78/00708	Siting of 10 additional caravans GRANTED 4 April 1979

## National and Local Policies

In so far as the discharge of condition 6 relates, the following national and local policies apply:

### Hastings Local Plan – Planning Strategy (2014)

Policy EN1 - Built and Historic Environment

### Hastings Local Plan – Development Management Plan (2015)

Policy LP1 - Considering Planning Applications

Policy HN4 - Development affecting Heritage Assets with Archaeological and Historic Interest or Potential Interest

## National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The NPPF states that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Para 14 sets out a general presumption in favour of sustainable development and states that development proposals which accord with the development plan should be approved without delay.

Three dimensions of sustainability given in paragraph 7 are to be sought jointly: economic (by ensuring that sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth and innovation); social (providing housing, creating high quality environment with accessible local services); and environmental (contributing to, protecting and enhancing natural, built and historic environment) whilst paragraph 10 advises that plans and decisions need to take local circumstances into account, so they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas.

Paragraph 128 states:

In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the asset's importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. As a minimum, the relevant historic environment record should have been consulted and the heritage assets assessed using appropriate expertise where necessary. Where a site on which development is proposed includes, or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.

## **Consultation comments**

In respect of the discharge of condition 6 (archaeological monitoring) the relevant consultation comments are:

### **East Sussex County Council (County Archaeologist) - no objection**

State that they are happy for the work to proceed on the basis of the written scheme of investigation. Planning condition not formally discharged however, until the field work and any post excavation analysis reporting and archiving has been completed and agreed.

### **Historic England - no objection**

Consider that the written scheme of investigation is adequate in relation to works within the Scheduled Ancient Monument.

## **Representations**

3 letters of objection have been received in relation to the application as a whole, raising the following concerns:

- Quality and accuracy of submitted plans - argue that they are not correct and the wrong plan numbers are displayed; imply that building regulations have been approved;

submitted plans contain amendments that have not been given permission.

- Planting scheme - inadequate scheme that doesn't effectively replace the lost screening; replanting necessary across the site and in land slip area; time deadline of 3 months has been breached.
- HBC consultees that have not commented

The Friends of Hastings Country Park Nature Reserve have objected to all conditions, but have not specified in what regard in respect of archaeological monitoring

## **Determining Issues**

### Principle

The principle of the holiday let building has already been approved at appeal and is not the subject of discussion here. This report relates to the discharge of condition 6 only, relating to the submission of a written scheme of investigation in respect of archaeological works. The main issue to be considered therefore is whether the submitted written scheme of investigation is of adequate detail and fit for purpose, in order for the programme of archaeological works to be implemented.

The site is in a sustainable location and the application is therefore in accordance with policy LP1 Hastings Local Plan - Development Management (2015) in this respect and acceptable in principle subject to other local plan policies.

### Archaeological investigation

The submitted written scheme of investigation is a revision of the document previously submitted in November 2015 to Historic England and East Sussex County Council for approval prior to the commencement of fieldwork and in order to apply for Scheduled Monument Consent. The revision takes account of the alterations to the plans as required by the appeal decision in June 2016. The document has been prepared in accordance with the relevant Standards and Guidance of the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CfA 2014) and the Sussex Archaeological Standards (April 2015).

Neither Historic England nor the County Archaeologist has raised objection to the submitted written scheme of investigation. Whilst one objection was received that specifically mentioned condition 6, no details were provided that explained for what reason the objection was placed. All other objections related to other elements of the discharge of condition application, unrelated to archaeological works.

Taking account of the proposed methodology to undertake archaeological works, together with the consultation responses received, it is considered that the details submitted are sufficient to discharge condition 6 in part. It will be necessary to return to Planning Committee at a future date with a written record of archaeological works within 3 months of completion of the archaeological investigations as set out in the submitted written scheme of investigation, currently being considered for approval. An alternative timescale could however be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority if necessary.

It is necessary to consider the discharge of condition 6 as a standalone condition due to the timing of the archaeological works being undertaken. Further delay until the current concerns with the landscaping and drainage schemes are resolved would result in difficulties with the required works being undertaken due to changes in weather and conditions.

## **Conclusion**

The submitted written scheme of investigation for archaeological monitoring has been demonstrated as being sufficient to comply with part of condition 6 of appeal reference APP/B1415/C/15/3029007. It is therefore recommended that these details be approved.

The Human Rights considerations have been taken into account fully in balancing the planning issues.

## **Recommendation**

It is recommended that the following condition be discharged:

Condition 6 of appeal decision APP/B1415/C/15/3029007:

"No further groundworks required to complete the development shall take place until the implementation of a programme of archaeological works has been secured in accordance with a written scheme of investigation submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. A written record of any archaeological works undertaken shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority within 3 months of the completion of any archaeological investigation unless an alternative timescale for the submission of the report is first agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority"

In accordance with the Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Monitoring (Archaeology South-East, August 2016).

---

## **Officer to Contact**

Miss S Roots, Telephone 01424 783329

## **Background Papers**

Application No: HS/CD/16/00655 including all letters and documents